arXiv:0911.0537v1 [math.CV] 3 Nov 2009

BOUNDS ON THE COEFFICIENTS OF CERTAIN ANALYTIC AND UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

K. O. BABALOLA

Abstract. For the real number $\alpha > 1$, we use a technique due to Nehari and Netanyahu and an application of certain integral iteration of Caratheodory functions to find the best-possible upper bounds on the coefficients of functions of the class $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ introduced in [4] by Opoola.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions:

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + ... \tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C}\colon |z| < 1\}$. In [4], Opoola introduced the subclass $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ consisting of functions $f \in A$ which satisfy:

$$Re\frac{D^n f(z)^\alpha}{\alpha^n z^\alpha} > \beta \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is real, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $D^n (n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, ...\})$ is the Salagean derivative operator defined as: $D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) = z[D^{n-1} f(z)]'$ with $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$ and powers in (2) meaning principal determinations only. The geometric condition (2) slightly modifies the one given originally in [4] (see [1]).

The object of the present work is the extension of some earlier results regarding the bounds on the coefficients, a_k, of functions belonging to the class $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$. Babalola and Opoola have begun to solve this problem in [2]. They determined sharp bounds on a_k for some $\alpha > 0$ and gave some rough estimate of the general coefficient bounds using the logarithmic coefficient approach. The sharp bounds were stated as follows:

Theorem 1 ([2]). *Let* $f \in T_n^\alpha(\beta)$. *Define*

$$B_m = \frac{2^m (1-\beta)^m \alpha^{m(n-1)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - j\alpha)}{m!}$$

$$A_k(n, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} B_m Q_{k-1}^{(m)}$$

$$A_{k-1}(n, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} B_m Q_{k-1}^{(m)}$$

where for each $m = 1, 2, ..., Q_{k-1}^{(m)}$ *is defined by the power series*

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{(\alpha + k)^n} \right)^m = Q_m^{(m)} z^m + Q_{m+1}^{(m)} z^{m+1} + Q_{m+2}^{(m)} z^{m+2} + ...$$

Also let

$$\Omega_1 = \{\alpha | 0 < \alpha < (k-2)^{-1}, k = 2, 3, ...\},$$
$$\Omega_2 = \{\alpha | (k-2)^{-1} \leq \alpha \leq (k-3)^{-1}, k = 4, 6, ...\},$$
$$\Omega_3 = \{\alpha | (k-2)^{-1} \leq \alpha < (k-3)^{-1}, k = 3, 5, ...\}$$

Then

$$|a_k| \leq \begin{cases} A_k & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \\ A_{k-1} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_3. \end{cases}$$

The inequalities are sharp. Equalities are attained for $f(z)$ *satisfying*

$$\frac{D^n f(z)^\alpha}{\alpha^n z^\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z}{1-z} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2, \\ \frac{1+(1-2\beta)z^2}{1-z^2} & \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega_3. \end{cases}$$

The rough estimate was also given as:

Theorem 2 ([2])**.** *Let* $f \in T_n^\alpha(\beta), n \in N$*. Suppose*

$$\frac{f(z)^\alpha}{z^\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k+1}(\alpha) z^k, A_1(\alpha) = 1.$$

Then

$$|A_{k+1}(\alpha)| < \exp\left\{ 0.624\alpha^2 + (2\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1}{j} \right\}.$$

From Theorem 1, which is best-possible, it is obvious that the problem has only been solved completely for a_2 and a_3 for all values of the index α. For $k \geq 4$, the problem has remained open for all $\alpha > (k-3)^{-1}$. In this article we proceed with the proof of the sharp bounds on the coefficients of functions in the class $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$, $\alpha > 1$. Our result is the following:

Theorem 3. *Let* $f \in T_n^\alpha(\beta)$*. If* $\alpha > 1$*, we have the sharp inequalities*

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha + k - 1)^n}, \quad k = 2, \ 3, \ 4, ... \tag{3}$$

Equalities are attained for $f(z)$ *satisfying*

$$\frac{D^n f(z)^\alpha}{\alpha^n z^\alpha} = \frac{1 + (1-2\beta)z^{k-1}}{1 - z^{k-1}}.$$

For $k = 2, \ 3$, the above result is contained in Theorem 1 above. The proof here is however new. Also Singh [6] proved the same result for $k = 2, \ 3, \ 4$ for the particular case $n = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. In our proof we combine a method of classical analysis due to Nehari and Netanyau [3] (also used by Singh [6]) with an application of certain integral iteration of the Caratheodory functions [1]. That is presented in Section 3. In the next section we state and prove some preliminary lemmas.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Let P be the class of functions

$$p(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \ldots \tag{4}$$

which are analytic in E and have positive real part. In [1] the following integral iteration of each $p \in P$ was identified:

Definition 1([1]). Let $p \in P$ and $\alpha > 0$ be real. The *nth* iterated integral transform of $p(z), z \in E$ is defined as

$$p_n(z) = \frac{\alpha}{z^\alpha} \int_0^z t^{\alpha-1} p_{n-1}(t)\,dt, \quad n \geq 1$$

with $p_0(z) = p(z)$. The family of the *nth* integral iteration of $p \in P$ was denoted by P_n. Functions $p_n(z)$ in P_n have series expansion:

$$p_n(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha+k)^n} b_k z^k \,. \tag{5}$$

Furthermore if $Re\ p_n(z) > \beta$, $(0 \leq \beta < 1)$, we denote by $P_n(\beta)$ the family of such functions given by:

$$p_n(z) = 1 + (1-\beta) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha+k)^n} b_k z^k.$$

In the proof of our main result we require the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 ([3]). *If* $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ *and* $q(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ *belong to* P*, then* $r(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k c_k z^k$ *also belongs to* P*.*

Lemma 2 ([3]). *Let* $h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k z^k$ *and* $1 + G(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b'_k z^k$ *be functions in* P*. Set*

$$\gamma_m = \frac{1}{2^m}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \binom{m}{\mu} d_\mu\right], \quad \gamma_0 = 1\,. \tag{6}$$

If A_k *is defined by*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \gamma_{m-1} G_1^m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k z^k,$$

then

$$|A_k| \leq 2, \quad k = 1,\ 2,\ \ldots$$

If, in the proof of the above lemma (as contained in [3]),we define $h_n(z)$ as the *nth* iterated integral transform of $h_0(z) = h(z)$ we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let* $h_n(z)$ *be the nth integral iteration of* $h_0(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}d_kz^k$ *with Re* $h_n(z)>\beta$, *and* $1+G(z)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}b'_kz^k$ *be functions in* P. *Define* γ_m *as in (6) and*

$$\eta_m=\frac{(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+m)^n}\gamma_m,\quad \eta_0=1-\beta\,. \tag{7}$$

If A_k *is defined by*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+1}\eta_{m-1}G_1^m(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}A_kz^k\,, \tag{8}$$

then

$$|A_k|\le\frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+k)^n},\quad k=1,\ 2,\ \ldots \tag{9}$$

Lemma 3 ([2])**.** *Let* $G(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}c_kz^k$ *be a power series. Then the mth integer product of G(z) is* $G^m(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}c_k^{(m)}z^k$ *where* $c_k^{(1)}=c_k$ *and* $c_k^{(m)}=\sum_{j=0}^{k}c_jc_{k-j}^{(m-1)}$, $m\ge 2$.

We now turn to the proof of the main result.

3. Proof of the Main result

Let $f\in T_n^{\alpha}(\beta)$. Then there exists an analytic functions $p_n\in P_n$ such that

$$f(z)^{\alpha}=z^{\alpha}[\beta+(1-\beta)p_n(z)] \tag{10}$$

where $p_n(z)$ given by (5) is the *nth* iterated integral transform of an analytic function $p\in P$ defined by (4) (see Lemma 4.2 of [1]). The first part of the proof involves obtaining expression for the coefficients, a_k, of $f(z)$ in terms of the coefficients, b_k, of the function $p\in P$. This is contained in [2] and adapted here for completeness. We have, using (5) in (10),

$$f(z)=z\left(1+(1-\beta)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha+k)^n}b_kz^k\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}. \tag{11}$$

Equation (11) expands binomially as

(12)

$$\frac{f(z)}{z}=1+\frac{(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{\alpha}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_kz^k}{(\alpha+k)^n}+\frac{(1-\beta)^2\alpha^{2n}(1-\alpha)}{2!\alpha^2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_kz^k}{(\alpha+k)^n}\right)^2+$$
$$\ldots+\frac{(1-\beta)^m\alpha^{mn}\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(1-j\alpha)}{m!\alpha^m}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{b_kz^k}{(\alpha+k)^n}\right)^m+\ldots.$$

Using Lemma 3 in (12) we get

$$\frac{f(z)}{z}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\widetilde{B}_1C_k^{(1)}z^k+\ldots+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\widetilde{B}_mC_k^{(m)}z^k+\ldots$$

where

$$\widetilde{B}_m = \frac{(1-\beta)^m \alpha^{m(n-1)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(1-j\alpha)}{m!}$$

and $C_k^{(m)}$, $m = 1, 2, ...;$ $k = m, m+1, ...$ is defined by

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k z^k}{(\alpha+k)^n}\right)^m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k^{(m)} z^k$$

having the general form

$$C_k^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} C_j \prod_{l=1}^{m} \frac{b_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} \tag{13}$$

for some nonnegative constants C_j, $j = 1, 2, ...k$ and indices ρ_l, $l = 1, 2, ..., m$ taking values in the set $M = \{0, 1, 2, ..., m\}$ such that $\rho_l + \rho_2 + ... + \rho_m = m$ (see page 10 of [2]). From (12) we write

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_k^{(m)} z^k \tag{14}$$

where

$$\widetilde{A}_k^{(m)} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \widetilde{B}_m C_{k-1}^{(m)}, \quad k = 2,\ 3,\ ...$$

Comparing coefficients in (1) and (14), we see that

$$a_k = \widetilde{A}_k^{(m)}$$

which gives

$$a_k = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{(1-\beta)^m \alpha^{m(n-1)} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(1-j\alpha)}{m!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} C_j \prod_{l=1}^{m} \frac{b_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}}\right). \tag{15}$$

Now we compute the leading coefficients, A_v, in the expression (8). From (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+1} \eta_{m-1} G_1^m(z) &= G_1(z) - \eta_1 G_1^2(z) + ... \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} A_v z^v \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with

$$G_1(z) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} b'_v z^v.$$

Using Lemma 3 again we have

$$G_1^m(z) = \sum_{v=m}^{\infty} C_v^{(m)} z^v, \quad m = 1,\ 2,\ ... \tag{17}$$

where

$$C_v^{(m)} = \sum_{j=1}^{v} C_j \prod_{l=1}^{m} b'^{\rho_l}_l \tag{18}$$

with C_j and indices ρ_l as already defined for (13). Using (17) and (18) in (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{m+1}\eta_{m-1}G_1^m(z) &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\eta_{m-1}C_v^{(m)}\right)z^v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\eta_{m-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}b'^{\rho_l}_l\right)\right)z^v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{\infty}A_v z^v\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$A_v = \sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\eta_{m-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}b'^{\rho_l}_l\right)z^v.$$

By corollary 1, these coefficients, A_v, satisfy the inequality (9) if $1+G(z) = 1 + b'_1 z + b'_2 z^2 + \ldots$ is a function of the class P, and by Lemma 1 we may set $b'_l = \frac{1}{2}b_l c_l$ where $p(z) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \ldots$ is the function (4) and $H(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots$ is an arbitrary function in P. Then

$$|A_v| = \left|\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\frac{\eta_{m-1}}{2^m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}b_l^{\rho_l}c_l^{\rho_l}\right)\right| \le \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+v)^n}. \tag{19}$$

Using (7) together with the fact that $\alpha > 0$, we can write (19) equivalently as

$$|A_v| = \left|\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\frac{(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+m-1)^n}\frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m\alpha}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}b_l^{\rho_l}c_l^{\rho_l}\right)\right| \le \frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+v)^n}. \tag{20}$$

Since for each $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ and any $\alpha > 0$,

$$\prod_{l=1}^{m}\frac{\alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}} \le \prod_{l=1}^{m}\frac{\alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+1)^{n\rho_l}} = \frac{\alpha^{mn}}{(\alpha+1)^{mn}} \le \frac{\alpha^n}{(\alpha+m-1)^n},$$

it is evident that for each $v = 1, 2, ...$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m\alpha}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}\frac{(1-\beta)^{\rho_l}\alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}}b_l^{\rho_l}c_l^{\rho_l}\right)\le$$
$$\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\frac{(1-\beta)\alpha^n}{(\alpha+m-1)^n}\frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m\alpha}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}b_l^{\rho_l}c_l^{\rho_l}\right).$$

Therefore by (20),we have

$$\left|\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m\alpha}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}\frac{(1-\beta)^{\rho_l}\alpha^{n\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}}b_l^{\rho_l}c_l^{\rho_l}\right)\right|\le\frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+v)^n}$$

that is,

(21)

$$\left|\sum_{m=1}^{v}(-1)^{m+1}\frac{(1-\beta)^m\alpha^{mn-1}\gamma_{m-1}}{2^m}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{v}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}\frac{b_l^{\rho_l}c_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}}\right)\right|\le\frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+v)^n}.$$

Now comparing (15) and the term in the absolute value in (21) (with $v = k-1$), we would conclude that the inequalities (3) hold if we are able to find two members $h(z) = 1 + d_1z + d_2z^2 + ...$ and $H(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + ...$ of P which give rise to the constants γ_m (as required by (6)) and c_l. For $H \in P$, a natural choice of the Moebius function is suitable. That is, $H(z) = (1+z)/(1-z) = 1+2z+2z^2+....$ Thus we have $c_l = 2, \quad l = 1,\ 2,\ ....$ Using this in (21) we get

(22)

$$\left|\sum_{m=1}^{k-1}(-1)^{m+1}(1-\beta)^m\alpha^{mn-1}\gamma_{m-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}C_j\prod_{l=1}^{m}\frac{b_l^{\rho_l}}{(\alpha+l)^{n\rho_l}}\right)\right|\le\frac{2(1-\beta)\alpha^{n-1}}{(\alpha+k-1)^n}.$$

Comparing (15) and the term in the absolute value in (22) we find that

$$(-1)^{m+1}\frac{\gamma_{m-1}}{\alpha}=\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(1-j\alpha)}{m!\alpha^m}$$

that is

$$\gamma_{m-1}=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}(j\alpha-1)}{m!\alpha^{m-1}},\quad \gamma_0=1\,. \tag{23}$$

Now with d_μ, $\mu = 1, 2, ..., m-1$ defined by

$$\frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\left[1+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{\mu}d_\mu\right]=\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}(j\alpha-1)}{m!\alpha^{m-1}}, \tag{24}$$

we need to find $h(z)_k$, corresponding to each a_k, $k = 2, 3, 4, ...$, such that the coefficients d_μ, $\mu = 1, 2, ..., m-1$ of each $h(z)_k$ satisfy (24) and the proof would be complete. Observe from (22) that $m = 1, 2, ..., k-1$ as we begin to implement our scheme for each $k = 2, 3, 4, ...$

$k = 2$: In this case $m = 1$. Hence by (23) $\gamma_0 = 1$ and we can therefore define $d_\mu = 0$ for all μ so that $h(z)_2 = 1$.

$k = 3$: Here we have $m = 1, 2$. Using (24) we get $d_1 = -\frac{2}{\alpha}$, so that

$$h(z)_3 = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right) = 1 - \frac{2}{\alpha}z + ...$$

$k = 4$: Now $m = 1, 2, 3$. From (24) we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(2d_1 + d_2)\right) = \frac{(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)}{3!\alpha^2}.$$

Taking $d_1 = 0$, we get

$$\frac{d_2}{2} = \frac{\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 2}{3\alpha^2}.$$

(Note that $|d_2| \leq 2$) and we have

$$h(z)_4 = \begin{cases} \frac{2(\alpha^2+3\alpha-1)}{3\alpha^2} + \frac{\alpha^2-6\alpha+2}{3\alpha^2}\left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}\right) & \text{if } \alpha \geq \alpha_0, \\ \frac{2(2\alpha^2-3\alpha+1)}{3\alpha^2} + \frac{6\alpha-\alpha^2-2}{3\alpha^2}\left(\frac{1-z^2}{1+z^2}\right) & \text{if } 1 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0. \end{cases}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{2(\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 2)}{3\alpha^2}z^2 + ...$$

where $\alpha_0 > 1$ is the solution of $\alpha^2 - 6\alpha + 2 = 0$.

$k = 5$: In this case $m = 1, 2, 3, 4.$, and from (24) we get

$$\frac{1}{8}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(3d_1 + 3d_2 + d_3)\right) = \frac{(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)(3\alpha-1)}{4!\alpha^3}.$$

Taking $d_1 = d_2 = 0$, we get

$$\frac{d_3}{2} = \frac{3\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1}{3\alpha^3}.$$

(Note also that $|d_3| \leq 2$) and

$$h(z)_5 = \begin{cases} \frac{11\alpha^2-6\alpha+1}{3\alpha^3} + \frac{3\alpha^3-11\alpha^2+6\alpha-1}{3\alpha^3}\left(\frac{1+z^3}{1-z^3}\right) & \text{if } \alpha \geq \alpha_0, \\ \frac{6\alpha^3-11\alpha^2+6\alpha-1}{3\alpha^3} + \frac{1-6\alpha+11\alpha^2-3\alpha^3}{3\alpha^3}\left(\frac{1-z^3}{1+z^3}\right) & \text{if } 1 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0. \end{cases}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{2(3\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1)}{3\alpha^3}z^3 + ...$$

In this case $\alpha_0 > 1$ is the solution of $3\alpha^3 - 11\alpha^2 + 6\alpha - 1 = 0$.

$k \geq 6$: In general, $m = 1, 2, 3, ..., k-1$. In (24) we set $d_1 = \frac{-2}{m-1}$, $d_2 = d_4 = ... = d_\xi = \sigma$ where ξ equals $m-1$ if $m-1$ is *even* and $m-2$ otherwise, and $d_3 = d_5 = ... = d_\omega = 0$ where ω equals $m-1$ if $m-1$ is *odd* and $m-2$ otherwise. With this we get

$$\frac{\sigma}{2}=\frac{2^{m-1}\prod_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(\frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha}\right)}{m\left(\binom{m-1}{2}+\binom{m-1}{4}+...+\binom{m-1}{\xi}\right)}.$$

In this sense $|d_\mu|\leq 2$ for all $\mu=1,2,...,m-1$. Setting $m=k-1$, we now define $h(z)_k$, $k\geq 6$ as follows

$$h(z)_k=\left(1-\frac{2}{k-2}-\frac{2^{k-2}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2}\left(\frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha}\right)}{(k-1)\left(\binom{k-2}{2}+\binom{k-2}{4}+...+\binom{k-2}{\xi}\right)}\right)+\frac{2}{k-2}(1-z)$$
$$+\frac{2^{k-2}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2}\left(\frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha}\right)}{(k-1)\left(\binom{k-2}{2}+\binom{k-2}{4}+...+\binom{k-2}{\xi}\right)}\left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}\right).$$

In other words,

$$h(z)_k=1-\frac{2}{k-2}z+\frac{2^{k-2}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2}\left(\frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha}\right)}{(k-1)\left(\binom{k-2}{2}+\binom{k-2}{4}+...+\binom{k-2}{\xi}\right)}z^2$$
$$+\frac{2^{k-2}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2}\left(\frac{j\alpha-1}{j\alpha}\right)}{(k-1)\left(\binom{k-2}{2}+\binom{k-2}{4}+...+\binom{k-2}{\xi}\right)}z^4+...$$

That these functions $h(z)_k$ belong to P follows from the fact that the function $\lambda_1 f_1+\lambda_2 f_2+...+\lambda_m f_m$ belongs to P if f_1, f_2, ..., f_m belong, λ_1, λ_2,...,$\lambda_m\geq 0$ and $\lambda_1+\lambda_2+...+\lambda_m=1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. We compute $h(z)_6$, ..., $h(z)_{10}$ for the purpose of illustration.

$$h(z)_6=1-\frac{1}{2}z+\frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(4\alpha-1)}{105\alpha^4}z^2$$
$$\frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(4\alpha-1)}{105\alpha^4}z^4+...$$

$$h(z)_7=1-\frac{2}{5}z+\frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(5\alpha-1)}{675\alpha^5}z^2$$
$$\frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(5\alpha-1)}{675\alpha^5}z^4+...$$

$$h(z)_8=1-\frac{1}{3}z+\frac{8(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(6\alpha-1)}{10765\alpha^6}z^2$$
$$\frac{8(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(6\alpha-1)}{10765\alpha^6}z^4+...$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z)_9 = 1 - \frac{2}{7}z + \frac{2(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(7\alpha-1)}{19845\alpha^7}z^2 & \\
\frac{2(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(7\alpha-1)}{19845\alpha^7}z^4 + ...
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(z)_{10} = 1 - \frac{1}{4}z + \frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(8\alpha-1)}{360045\alpha^8}z^2 & \\
\frac{4(\alpha-1)(2\alpha-1)...(8\alpha-1)}{360045\alpha^8}z^4 + ...
\end{aligned}
$$

With this work the coefficient problem of functions in the class $T_n^\alpha(\beta)$ is settled for any $\alpha > 1$. Of course the case $\alpha = 1$ is trivial as this simply gives $|a_k| \leq \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(k+1)^n}$, $k \geq 2$, as can be seen easily from (12). Thus the problem only remains open for $(k-3)^{-1} \leq \alpha < 1$, $k \geq 5$. Finally, we note a humble attempt at this problem made by the authors in [5]. Their results depended wholly on the triangle inequality, and were not sharp.

Acknowledgements. Special thanks to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy for providing the reference paper [3] and particularly Dr. Siraaj Ajadi, Department of Mathematics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria for his *being there always* during the author's postgraduate studies at Ife and Ilorin.

REFERENCES

[1] BABALOLA, K. O. and OPOOLA, T. O., *Iterated integral transforms of Caratheodory functions and their applications to analytic and univalent functions*, Tamkang J. Math., **37** (4) (2006), 355–366.

[2] BABALOLA, K. O. and OPOOLA, T. O., *On the coefficients of certain analytic and univalent functions*, Advances in Inequalities for Series, Nova Science Publishers (http://www.novapublishers.com) (2006), 5–17. (Edited by S. S. Dragomir and A. Sofo).

[3] NEHARI, Z. and NETANYAHU, E., *On the coefficients of meromorphic schlicht functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1) (1957), 15–23.

[4] OPOOLA, T. O., *On a new subclass of univalent functions*, Matematica (Cluj) **36**, 59 (2)(1994), 195–200.

[5] OPOOLA, T. O., BABALOLA, K. O., FADIPE-JOSEPH O. A. and RAUF, K., *On the coefficient bounds of a subclass of univalent functions*, J. Nig. Math. Soc. **24** (2004), 87–92.

[6] SINGH, R., *On Bazilevic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1973), 261–271.

Received

Department of Mathematics
University of Ilorin
Ilorin, Nigeria
E-mail: `abuuabdilqayyuum@gmail.com`